I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. ET883325004US, in an envelope addressed to: Box Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231, on the date shown below.

Qated: February 20, 2003 Signature:

Moore Sulecto (Naomi Serbantez) #/1/B & Lowurn 2-28-03

Docket No.: HO-P02014US0 (PATENT)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Charles B. Forsythe, et al.

Application No.: 09/650,299

Group Art Unit: 2622

Filed: August 29, 2000

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SELECTING AND PURCHASING MEDIA ADVERTISING

## **REPLY AFTER FINAL**

RECEIVED
FEB 2 6 2003
GROUP 3600

**Box AFTER FINAL**Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the final Office action dated December 31, 2002, please consider the following remarks.

## REMARKS

Claims 1-16 stand finally rejected. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of Claims 1-16 are respectfully requested in light of the following remarks.

## Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Miller reference (U.S. Patent No. 6,338,043) in view of the disclosed art at page 3, line 31 – page 4, line 1 of the specification, specifically BuyMedia.com. The Examiner's rejection is respectfully traversed.

To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, all of the limitations recited in the subject claim must be taught or suggested in the prior art. *In re Royka*, 180 U.S.P.Q. 580 (C.C.P.A. 1974). With respect to the rejected claims in this case, it is respectfully submitted